G. R. S 59] GP

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

1902-03
(Mysore)

File No. 72

Serial Nos. 1-5

Papers Filed.

Month ~~February~~ 1899.

SUBJECT.

Filed the resumption of certain Inam lands attached to the Virupakshadevara temple at Doddagaddanahalli, Hassan Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

Note.

Vide copy of the Inam Register

Deputy Commissioner's office
Hassan District

No 797 Dated Camp Arsikere 24th February/92.
secy.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore
Surgery Branch.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the villagers of Doddagaddavally in the Hassan Taluk, complained that the order of resumption of the Inam held by Veerupakshewara Devaru, is now being brought into force, altho' the temple is maintained by them and the services rendered.

2. The facts of the case are as follow:-

That in the Inam Settlement in the year 1879, the Inam lands belonging to this temple were.

were ordered to be resumed on the ground that the temple was in a state of disrepair and the Poojaree was unable to undertake its repairs. This order of the Inam Department appears to have been communicated to the District Office at the close of 1887, but it has not been carried out up to date. The villagers and the Poojaree have been offering resistance since then, to the resumption of the Inam in question, on the ground that the temple is in good condition and Service continued.

3. When I had encamped at Madihally for settling the Jamabandy of the Belur Taluk in December last, the villagers and the Poojaree again complained to me on the subject. I inspected the temple and found the same in a Capital order. It is not an ordinary sort of temple, but it is worth preserving. Nothing is required

required to be repaired except a portion of the Compound wall. The temple is said to be one of those constructed by the famous Dalavaikhani. I am however doubtful of it. At any rate it is a nice and grand temple and its present condition does not at all warrant the resumption of the Inam The Poojaree who is also the Shanbogue of the village during the time of Inam Settlement, foolishly wrote a remark that it stood in need of repairs with a view that Government might undertake its repair. He was however quite unaware that the result would have been otherwise. As the repairs were not done the Inam was resumed. It will indeed be a hard case to resume the Inam of such a good temple where Service is continued. I would therefore recommend

recommend the Cancellation of the order of resumption and restoration of the Inam to the Temple as heretofore.

4. The Vernacular papers ending with Hassan Talak Anildass Surge No 1193/1199 are herewith submitted for needful reference and obliging return

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient Servant-

Hy Thomas

By Commissioner

Cler

(General Serial No. 30.)

1891 534

2-3-92

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.
Inspection Branch

Dated 24.

Despatched 29. February 1892

Received

Enclosures No. V.P. file

General No. 797
No. ~~Secy.~~

Recommending the Cancellation of the order of the resumption ~~of~~ and restoration of the Inam lands to the Temple of Virupakshesvara Devaru at Doddagaddavalli Hassan Taluk.

Headclerk
CS
8/3/92

J. the same no 613 & 29/3/92

worships these idols also in addition to that of Virupaksha — Shewara (vide Mahazar dated 26th Jany/88 executed by the villagers).

2. The Dy Commr is also requested to forward to this office a Muchalika on a due stamp paper from the Archick & the villagers binding themselves to be responsible to keep the Devasthana always in good order and to have the services therein performed regularly.

The Ver: papers are returned herewith.

Dy Secretary
23/2/93

MUZARAI

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To The Dy Commr Hassan Dist

Dated 29

Despatched 2nd March/93

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 613 R
Muzarai F. No.

His No 797 dated 24th Feby/93 recommending the cancellation of the resumption order in connection with the Inam lands attached to the temple of Virupakshéswara Dévasthana at Doddagaddavalli, Hassan Taluk.

— " —

With reference to the above has the

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore —12-1-93.—1,000.

7 in the name No 955 9/6/93

the Inamdar to request to be furnished with information on the following points:—

(1) Whether the compound walls of the temple have since been thoroughly repaired.

(2) Whether the amount of the arrears of Kandayam due on the lands during the period of resumption has been recovered & credited to Govt.

(3) Whether the idols of Lakshmi Devi Mahakalli, Kalla Bhairava &c. are dedicated in the temple of Virupaksheswara under reference and whether the present worshipers

38

SC 4 763

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kaspa District

To

Murzai
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government, ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 16th June 1895

Despatched

Received 15/6

Enclosures No. 1 file of V-p.

General No. 955 of 1894-95
No. S

In reply to No. 613 Dy 29th March 1893

SUBJECT.

Repairing that the compound wall of the Virupakshesvara Devaru temple at Doddagad-davalli, Kaspa Taluk, has not as yet been repaired nor the temple which also is stated to stand in need of repairs &c

"

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

23/2/9

No 955
S.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated Hassan, June 1895

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Inzrai Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to advert to your Docket No: 613 dated 29th March 1895 anent the cancellation of the resumption order in connection with the Inam lands attached to the temple of Virupak-Sheswara Devaru at Doddagoddanalli Hassan Taluk and in reply to submit herewith the Inzrai Officers Yadi No: 769/1765 dated 24th June/94 with its enclosures. From the above, it would appear that the compound wall referred to has not as yet been repaired for the temple which also is stated to stand in need of repairs. The cost is supposed to amount to about 2000 Rs.

2. The amount of Kandayam due on the during the period of resumption, (viz Rs. 152/- for four years) it appears, has not been recovered and credited to Government.

3. There

3.

There seems to be two factions in the village one siding with the Archak who is also the Shanbhogue and the other contending that the lands in the possession of the pujari should be made over to them and consequently no Muchalika could be obtained from the Archak and the Villagers as requested The enclosures are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Kaipan District.

Assessment R.A.P. 38

Ref: R.O. 252 ... A.G. 10-26. ...

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore 85

COPYRIGHT

Read:—

Correspondence ending with Letter No. 955 dated 11th June 1895 from the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan District in the matter of the resumption of certain inam lands attached to the Sri Virupaksha Devasthanam temple at Doddagaddanahalli in Hassan Taluk.

Order thereon No. 1002-3 Ene 1 file 1P
Dated Bangalore 23rd February 1899.

From the correspondence read above, it appears that the marginally noted* inam land endowed to the Sri Virupaksha Devasthanam temple was brought under resumption at the Inam Settlement which took place held in 1879 on account of the dis repaired state of the temple; and though the order of resumption was duly communicated by the Inam Department to the Deputy Commissioner so long ago as 1887 yet the inam has not been resumed and the land has been allowed still continues to be enjoyed as inam by the Archak Ramanna who is also the Shanbhogue of the village, merely because this person has been pleading contending that the temple has all along been maintained by him in good condition. ~~[illegible]~~

~~exception~~. This is highly irregular Both from
~~for the Collector or the present State of the~~ the Deputy
~~temple to be, there is no place for the~~ Commissioners
~~corresponding words of the order of~~ letter of the 11th
~~exemption of kindly passed on the~~ June 1895
~~land to be restored as a matter of~~ and the state-
~~form the temple is a little in a state~~ -ment to made
~~of disrepair as reported in the Deputy~~ by the Patels
~~Commissioner's letter of the 11th June 1895~~ of
~~and above quoted deposed to by the Patels~~
of Bedarahalli and Doddagaddanahalli
~~before the Inspector~~ before the Inspr
Superintendent on 16th December 1896
and filed in the records, it is observed that the temple is still
in disrepaired condition.

2. In these circumstances, the
Government direct that the inam in question
be resumed for inwith in pursuance of the
~~above~~ Inam Settlement.

3. The question of the restoration
of the resumed inam to the temple can be
considered only after the devotees have thoroughly repaired
~~and~~ the Institution. ~~A statement~~

Dft.

W

Inspr Secretary.

K

To
The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District
returning Vernacular papers
Inam Settlements information